

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/749,405 12/28/2000 2207/7085 Stephan J. Jourdan 5261 EXAMINER 25693 7590 10/03/2005 KENYON & KENYON (SAN JOSE) LI, AIMEE J 333 WEST SAN CARLOS ST. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 600** SAN JOSE, CA 95110 2183

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

#F			
T	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	09/749,405	JOURDAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Aimee J. Li	2183	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 31 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the second second	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in cliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replif the final rejection.	offidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ly must be filed within	ence, which CFR 41.31; or none of the
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the statutory period f	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 31 August 2005. A br the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)) appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any rep AMENDMENTS	, or any extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid di	smissal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further or (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beau appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); etter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	s):		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is professed to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:)	rill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	g a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	e date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be

13. Other: _____.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues in essence on page 7 "...The exmplanation taken from the specification was intended to describe and clarify one functionality of the 'misprediction value', and to further point out that such a functionality or anything similar is not disclosed in the cited sections." This has not been found persuasive. The functionality relied upon to describe and clarify the "misprediction value" is not found in the claim. Unless there is an explicit definition for "misprediction value", which the Examiner could not locate, any general definition of "misprediction value" can be used in interpreting the claim.

EDDIE CHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100